

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/380,519	Applicant(s) AMREN ET AL.	
	Examiner THOMAS MCEVOY	Art Unit 3731	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) THOMAS MCEVOY. (3) ____.

(2) JOHN GENOVA. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2013.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner proposed amending claim 6 to change "radial" to --longitudinal-- in order to better describe the tapering seen in the fingers as viewed along their length or longitudinal axis and in order to differentiate from the radial tapering already described in claim 1. Examiner proposed making the above changes by examiner's amendment in order to bring the application in condition for allowance, pending an updated search. Mr. Genova agreed to authorize the attached examiner's amendment which incorporates Examiner's proposal.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Thomas McEvoy/ Examiner, Art Unit 3731	
--	--